IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

James Griffin,)	C/A No. 0:10-489-JFA
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER
V.)	
)	
Wilcohess, LLC, d/b/a Wendy's,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

The plaintiff has moved to strike certain defenses asserted in the answer, or in the alternative, to make statements more definite and certain. After reviewing the positions of the parties set forth in their pleadings, the court has determined that a hearing is not necessary and that the motion should be denied.

As an initial proposition, the defendant notes that a motion for a more definite statement of a pleading is proper only when directed to a pleading "to which a responsive pleading is allowed." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e). Since the motion for a more definite statement is made with reference to the defendant's answer (a pleading to which a response is not required), the motion is defective.

With regard to the motion to strike, as the defendant correctly observes, all that is required in federal court is notice pleading, and the particulars of a party's claim or defense are to be more fully developed during the discovery process. Here, the defendant has asserted various defenses available (such as intervening negligence of a third party) for

which discovery may be appropriate. If and when it is determined that some or all of these

defenses have no merit, the defendant may withdraw them, or the plaintiff may move for

summary judgment on those defenses.

For these reasons, the plaintiff's motion [Doc. No. 7] is denied. The court notes that

the third defense alleges improper venue, suggesting that the alleged food poisoning occurred

in Cherokee County, South Carolina, but that the action was filed in York County. The case

was thereafter removed to this court. Cherokee County is in the Greenville/Spartanburg

Division of this Federal District and York County is in the Columbia Division. Thus, it is

not clear whether the defendant is affirmatively seeking to have this case transferred to the

Greenville Division. If that is the defendant's intention, an appropriate motion should be filed

and the court will rule upon it in due course. If, on the other hand, the defendant does not

wish to transfer venue, then the defendant should timely file a notice indicating that the third

defense is abandoned.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 8, 2010

Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.

Joseph F. anderson, g.

United States District Judge

2